



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/914,984	12/18/2001	Viktor Van Stoller	Mo-6617/STA-155	6679
34947 79	34947 7590 01/29/2004		EXAMINER	
BAYER CHEMICALS CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 BAYER ROAD			RUTHKOSKY, MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	PA 15205-9741		1745	PAPER NUMBER
TITTODOROM,	174 15205-5141		1749	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L-326 (Re	ev. 11-03)	Office Action Summa	ry	Part of Paper No. 012304
Notice	(s) a of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		4) Interview Summary (PTO- 5) Notice of Informal Patent 6) Cther: .	
11) Toiority un 12) A 2) A 37 37 31 14) A ref	The drawing(s) filed oninterpretation ofinterpretation ofinterpretation ofinterpretation on the Interpretation ofinterpretation ofinterpretation ofinterpretation ofinterpretation on the Interpretation ofinterpretation of	jection to the drawing(s) in g the cornection is requi- tion by the Examiner. No m for foreign priority ur- y documents have bee y documents have bee y of the priority documents inonal Bureau (PCT Rul inon for a list of the cert for domestic priority u led in the first sentence anguage provisional pro-	se het in abeyance. See 37 c dif the drawing(s) is objected to the attached Office Action and 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) on received. In application No into the properties of the control of 17.2(a). feed copies not received. feed copies not received. feed of the specification or in ar pilication has been received. feed 36 U.S.C. § 119(a) (to draw 50 U.S.C. § 5120 and/draw feed 35 U.S.C. § 5120 and/draw	FR 1.85(e). to Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d). on or form PTO-152. or (f).  o.  a provisional Stage a provisional application a Application Data Shee 1.
9)□ Т	on Papers The specification is objected to by			
6) 7) 8)	Claim(s) 24-29 and 42 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to rest		equirement.	
4)⊠ 4	Claim(s) 24-42 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-41 is/ Claim(s) is/are allowed.		nsideration.	
3)[	Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the praction of Claims	2b) ☐ This action is n in for allowance except ctice under Ex parte Qu	for formal matters, prosecu	tion as to the merits is G. 213.
	Responsive to communication(s) f This action is FINAL.			
- Extension 5 - If the p - If NO - Failure - Arry re	sistens of time may be available under the provise SIX (8) MONTHS from the enabling date of this co. period for reply specified above, the motivities period for reply is specified above, the motivities in to reply within the set or extended pened for re- eptly section of the Office later than three mexical of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ns of 37 GFR 1.135(e). In no or neunication. (30) days, a reply within the sta statutory period will apply and v oly will. by statute, cause the ass	utory minimum of thirty (30) days will b ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mu dication to become ABANDONED 135	e considered timely. ding date of this communication. U.S.C. § 133).

Application No.

Mark Ruthkosky

09/914.984

Examiner

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

Office Action Summary

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

Period for Reply

Statu 1) 2a) 3) Dispo 4) 5) 6) 7) 8) Appli 9) 10)

Priori 12)

13)[ 14\ Attachr 1) | N 2) | N 3) | H U.S. Patent a PTOL-32 Applicant(s)

Art Unit

1745

STOLLER ET AL.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,984 Art Unit: 1745

### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of claims 22, 26-29 and 42-43 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention has been overcome by canceling claim 22.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC 8 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22-25, 27-29 and 42-43 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watada et al. (JP 10-021,901.)

The instant claims are to a coated nickel hydroxide having a cobalt (II) hydroxide coating that is stable to oxidation. The coating has 1-200 mmol of one or more amions of weak inorganic oxygen acids per mol of cobalt (II) hydroxide and wherein on the surface of the cobalt hydroxide coating is at most a monomolecular layer of the anions of the weak inorganic oxygen molecules.

Watada et al. (JP 10-021,901) teaches a nickel hydroxide active material having a cobalt (II) hydroxide coating that is stable to oxidation (see the abstract). The cobalt (II) hydroxide coating is in the alpha-state, which is stable to oxidation. The cobalt compound may also include an additive doping element, such as aluminum, and weak organic anions, including carbonate anions in the range of 1-200 mmol. At most, a monomolecular layer of the anions of the weak inorganic oxygen molecules will form on the surface of the cobalt hydroxide coating. The nickel compound may also include additives, such as transition metals, in an amount of 0.2-25 % (wt.), (see pp. 34-46). The material is added as a coating to a substrate and is used as a cathode active material in a secondary battery. With regard to claim 29, the reference does not teach the nickel hydroxide to have water molecules in the structure and, therefore, the structure will have less than 10% water. Thus, the claims are anticipated.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 10.2 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the newton towar smole to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 26 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watada et al.

(JP 10-021,901) in view of Yano et al. (US6, 007 946.)

Watada et al. (JP 10-021,901) teaches a cathode active material in a secondary battery including a nickel hydroxide active material having a cobalt (III) hydroxide coating that is stable to oxidation as previously described. Watada et al. (JP 10-021,901) does not each the material to have an average particle size of 0.5-500 µm. Yano et al. (US 6,007,946) teaches a cathode active material in a secondary battery including a nickel hydroxide active material having a cobalt hydroxide coating. The examples show that the active material includes a cobalt-coated, nickel hydroxide active material with a mean diameter of 10 µm. It would be obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prepare an active material with an average particle size of 0.5-500 µm as the material will have a large surface area for electrochemical activity and electron transfer. Further, one of ordinary skill would recognize the desirability of an average particle size of 0.5-500 µm to form a uniform mixture in an electrode and provide a high concentration of active material for increased capacity. The artesian would have found the claimed invention to be obvious in light of the teachings of the references.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/3/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant has amended the claim to state that the surface of the coabil hydroxide coating is at most a monomolecular layer of the anions of the weak inorganic oxygen molecules. It is shown in the reference that the cobalt hydroxide layer includes a component that includes a weak oxide ion such as carbonate. The inclusion of this material will inherently form a less than monomolecular coating on the Co molecule based on the stochiometry of the molecule. As the claim includes the limitation that the oxide is at most a monomolecular layer of the anions of weak inorganic oxygen molecules, this limitation is met by the amount of sulfate or carbonate in the molecule. The molecules will inherently form an amount of weak inorganic oxygen molecules on the surface of the cobalt hydroxide.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date davisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Ruthkosky whose telephone number is 571-272-1291. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEX schedule (generally, Monday-Thursday from 9:00-6:00.) If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization is 703-872-9306.

Mark Ruthkosky
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1745